

PASSHE Program Review Educational Session



June 28, 2021

calu.edu



PASSHE Policy 1986-04-A: Program Review:

Periodic program review is a best practice in American higher education that involves stakeholders in the continuous improvement of existing academic programs and programs in support of the student experience.



PASSHE Policy 1986-04-A: Program Review:

Such review includes an analysis of past performance that is used to inform present and future directions and decision-making.



PASSHE Policy 1986-04-A: Program Review:

The review process should be integrated with strategicplanning and budgeting processes, with regional and specialized accreditation processes, and with studentlearning outcomes assessment.



Academic Programs:

All programs defined in Board of Governor's Policy 1985-01-A: This includes all academic majors and concentrations.



Programs in Support of the Student Experience:

A broad network of programs and services that directly contributes to students' educational experience and fosters student success. Examples of such programs may include the library, student life, and enrollment services, public safety, judicial affairs, financial aid, counseling, athletics, residence life, Greek life, student union and student activities, health and wellness programs, career services, academic support services, diversity and inclusion programs, tutoring, advising, and disability services.



Academic Program Review (Non-Accredited programs):

All university programs not subject to specialized accreditation shall be evaluated at least once every five years.

At least once every 10 years, each program not subject to specialized accreditation may include a review by an appropriate external evaluator.



Academic Program Review (Accredited Programs)

Academic programs subject to specialized accreditation review cycles are determined by initial accreditation or reaffirmation cycles (e.g., 6, 7, 8, 10 years) of their program accreditation agencies.



Program Reviews in Support of the Student Experience:

Review criteria for programs in support of the student experience will be determined by the individual university. These criteria shall support the university continuous improvement efforts and Middle States accreditation requirements (e.g., Council Advanced Standards – CAS for Student Affairs and LibQUAL for the Library)

CALIFORNIA UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

Program Review Resources (Cal U Assessment Website)

https://www.calu.edu/inside/faculty-staff/assessment/program-review-procedures.aspx

Assessment Policies and Procedures

Assessment in Academic Programs

Assessment in Administrative and Educational Support Departments

Assessment Resources

Calendar

University Wide Assessment Model

Program Review Procedures and Timeline

ASSESSMENT

Office of Institutional Effectiveness and Assessment (OIEA)



Assessment Policies and Procedures

LEARN MORE



Assessment in Academic Programs

LEARN MORE



Review Cycles for Accredited and Non-Accredited Majors

						_		_		
Program Title	Six Digit CIP	Academic Level Text	Award Code	Award Title	Program Start Date	Next Five- Year Program Review	Specialized Accreditation Organization	Year of Next Accreditation Review	Frequency of Accreditation/ Program Review (Year	Next Program Review
Electrical Engineering Technology	150303	Undergraduate	AAS	Associate in Applied Sciences	1/1/1986	2021-22	NA	NA	5	2027-28
Physical Therapist Assistant	510806	Undergraduate	AAS	Associate in Applied Sciences	7/9/1998	2021-22*	CAPTE	2026-27	10	2026-27
Liberal Studies	240101	Undergraduate	AA	Associate of Arts	7/1/1983	2021-22	NA	NA	5	2026-27
Accounting	520301	Undergraduate	AS	Associate of Science	7/1/1983	2019-20	NA	NA	5	2024-24
Computer Engineering Technology	151201	Undergraduate	AS	Associate of Science	1/11/2001	2021-22	NA	NA	5	2026-27
Computer-Aided Design & Drafting	151301	Undergraduate	AS	Associate of Science	7/1/1983	2021-22	NA	NA	5	2026-27
Digital Media Technology	500402	Undergraduate	AS	Associate of Science	7/1/1983	2019-20	ACCGC	2019-20	6	2025-26
Early Childhood Education	131209	Undergraduate	AS	Associate of Science	7/1/1983	2021-22	NA	NA	5	2026-27
Industrial Technology	150699	Undergraduate	AS	Associate of Science	7/1/1983	2021-22	NA	NA	5	2026-27
Radiologic Tech (7725-7081)	150499-510911	Undergraduate	AS	Associate of Science	8/27/2018	2022-23	JRCERT	2022-23	8	2030-31
Veterinary Technology	510808	Undergratuate	AS	Associate of Science	3/5/2019	2021-22			6	2027-28
Technical Studies	150499	Undergraduate	AS	Associate of Science	1/1/2001	2022-23	NA	NA	5	2027-28
Anthropology	450201	Undergraduate	BA	Bachelor of Arts	8/1/2006	2022-23	NA	NA	5	2027-28
Arabic Language and Culture	161101	Undergraduate	BA	Bachelor of Arts	4/7/2011	2022-23	NA	NA	5	2027-28
Art	500701	Undergraduate	BA	Bachelor of Arts	7/1/1983	2020-21	NASAD	2021-22	5	2025-26
Communication Studies	090101	Undergraduate	BA	Bachelor of Arts	7/1/1983	2021-22	NA	NA	5	2026-27
English	230101	Undergraduate	BA	Bachelor of Arts	7/1/1983	2021-22	NA	NA	5	2026-27
Geography	450701	Undergraduate	BA	Bachelor of Arts	7/1/1983	2021-22	NA	NA	5	2026-27
History	540101	Undergraduate	BA	Bachelor of Arts	7/1/1983	2023-24	NA	NA	5	2028-29
International Studies	450701	Undergraduate	BA	Bachelor of Arts	7/1/1983	2023-24	NA	NA	5	2028-29
Jurisprudence	220203	Undergraduate	BA	Bachelor of Arts	6/30/2011	2019-20	NA	NA	5	2024-25
Liberal Studies	240101	Undergraduate	BA	Bachelor of Arts	7/1/1983	2023-24	NA	NA	5	2028-29
Mathematics	270101	Undergraduate	BA	Bachelor of Arts	7/1/1983	2019-20	NA	NA	5	2024-25
Parks & Recreation Management	310301	Undergraduate	BA	Bachelor of Arts	7/1/1983	2016-17	CAPRT	2024-25	8	2024-25
Physics	400801	Undergraduate	BA	Bachelor of Arts	7/1/1983	2017-18	NA	NA	5	2022-23
Political Science	451001	Undergraduate	BA	Bachelor of Arts	7/1/1983	2022-23	NA	NA	5	2027-28
Social Science	450101	Undergraduate	BA	Bachelor of Arts	7/1/1983	2022-23	NA	NA	5	2027-28
Sociology	450101	Undergraduate	BA	Bachelor of Arts	7/1/1983	2022-23	NA	NA	5	2027-28



Pennsylvania's State System of Higher Education

Academic Program Review Template

Department: Click or tap here to enter text.

Note: Non-accredited programs will submit a report every five years and accredited programs submit reports aligned with their accreditation review cycles.

Reporting:

- By December 31, programs submit their program-review reports to reviewer team members and college deans.
- January to April 15, college/school councils evaluate submitted program review reports and make action recommendations to the dean.
- 3. May 16 to June 1, college/school deans recommend actions to provost.
- 4. June/July, provost reviews reports and recommends actions to president.
- By August 15, the university president or designee submits all complete academic program review and action decisions to the State System Office of Academic and Student Affairs.



Program Review Template

- I. Introduction
- II. Faculty & Staff
- III. Program Performance Criteria (6 criteria)
- IV. Outside Evaluation Reports (Non-Accredited External Reviewer's Report <u>OR</u> Accreditation Commission Visiting Team Report, Due-Process Report, and accreditation action letter)
- V. Planning (assessment analysis, strategic action plan, funding request)
- VI. Recommendations (Reviewers, Deans, President (or designee)
 - No changes. Program meeting mission & goals of university and State System as currently offered.
 - Modifications/Recommendations that may enhance program sustainability or excellence.
 - Collaborative approach with similar programs across multiple universities.
 - Program has indicated growth potential. Consider for increased funding.
 - Program should be placed in moratorium.
- VII. Appendices.



Program Review Performance Criteria (Robert C. Dickeson Model)

- External Demand for the Program IRPE/C&PD Directors
- Internal Demand for the Program
- Program Assessment & Outcomes IRPE/C&PD Cntr. Directors
- Size, Scope, Costs & Productivity of the Program Budget Director
- Strategic Alignment of the Program
- Opportunity Analysis of the Program Enrollment Management



Program Review Timeline

- May-June: Notifications of programs under review to deans and department chairs
- July/August: Programs begin receiving common institutional data from IRPE, A&E, & C&PD Center.
- Summer: Program faculty may request individual consultation with AVP or IRPE Directors.
- Early September: Program Review Education Session(s) occur.
- December 31st: Completed program reviews submitted to college and school deans.
- January April 15th: College and school councils review reports and make recommendations to college/school deans.
- April 16-May 16th: Deans discuss recommended actions with department chairs.
- May 17th to June 1st: Deans forward final council recommendations and their independent recommendations to the Provost with copies for the AVP for Assessment and Accreditation.
- June 1st to July 31st: Provost reviews and discusses recommendations with the President. President or designee makes final decisions.
- July 31st: AVP, Deans, and Department Chairs receive final program review decisions, follow-up actions, and suggestions.
- August 15th: President or designee submits program review reports and final decisions to PASSHE Academic and Student Affairs.



College/School Council Procedure:

- Program review reports are reviewed by graduate and undergraduate council members.
- One council member is assigned as a primary reviewer with at a secondary reviewer assigned; reviewers may **NOT** serve on reviews for programs within their own departments.
- The primary reviewer completes a review summary with a focus on trend-data analyses.
- The secondary reviewer makes necessary edits to the review summary and the two reviewers propose an initial recommendation concerning the five program prescribed options.
- Primary reviewer presents the review summary to the Council with the initial recommendation.
- The Council discusses the summary and initial recommendation. Reviewers may answer questions based on their report. Council members from the program may provide factual information about the program but are expected to refrain from comments intended to influence the Council's decision.
- Council votes on reviewer recommendations. Council members associated with the program under review are expected to abstain from the vote.
- Secondary reviewer edits and finalizes the review summary, including final recommendation.



Program Review Recommendations/Decisions Should Be:

- Based on data related to Program Review Performance Criteria,
- Aligned with goals of the University Strategic Plan,
- Predicated on trend-data analyses from the annual assessment process and action plan results from previous program reviews,
- Aligned with our university Sustainability Plan, and
- In the best interest of our students and university.



Questions?

Contact AVP for Assessment & Accreditation or

Director of Institutional Effectiveness

(Office of Institutional Research, Planning, & Effectiveness)